To: Yelensky, Erica[Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov]
Cc: Grace Lee[glee@santamonicabay.org]

From: Nandi, Romell

**Sent:** Wed 6/14/2017 2:08:55 PM

Subject: RE: Santa Monica Bay NEP TFW Integration Proposal - HQ will fund at the \$25,000 level ...

Yes, that is OK. Thanks.

Romell

From: Yelensky, Erica

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 13, 2017 6:49 PM

**To:** Nandi, Romell < Nandi.Romell@epa.gov > **Cc:** Grace Lee < glee@santamonicabay.org >

Subject: RE: Santa Monica Bay NEP TFW Integration Proposal - HQ will fund at the \$25,000

level ...

Romell, we may need until Monday, June 19 to confirm proposal changes based on the new budget of \$25,000 with project partners. Does that work with your schedule? Thanks.

Best,

Erica

Disclaimer: This message was written with voice activated software. It may contain errors. Some of them might be interesting. Observe the context and the meaning will, hopefully, be obvious.

Erica Yelensky
Direct: (415) 972-3021
Watersheds Office
U.S. EPA Region 9, Water Division
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
<a href="http://www2.epa.gov/sfbay-delta/sf-bay-water-quality-improvement-fund">http://www2.epa.gov/sfbay-delta/sf-bay-water-quality-improvement-fund</a>

I use speech recognition software. Please excuse random word choices.

From: Nandi, Romell

Sent: Monday, June 12, 2017 1:20 PM

To: Yelensky, Erica < Yelensky. Erica@epa.gov>

Cc: Ziegler, Sam <<u>Ziegler.Sam@epa.gov</u>>; Tom Ford <<u>tford@santamonicabay.org</u>>; Grace Lee

<<u>glee@santamonicabay.org</u>>

Subject: Santa Monica Bay NEP TFW Integration Proposal - HQ will fund at the \$25,000 level

. . .

Importance: High

Erica et al:

I left Erica a voice mail about this – the TFW team at HQ has decided to fund the Santa Monice Bay proposal at the \$25k level as opposed to the requested \$45k level. We saw that your proposal said the project was scalable, so we assume that this level of funding would be accepted by SMBEP (just FYI, no single project is being funded as high as \$45k).

We got proposals for far more dollars than we had available – most proposals are not being funded at all. So \$25k is the best we could do

The only thing I need from you now is <u>either</u> a resubmission of the original proposal or, if it is easier, a separate brief write-up explaining what the \$20k reduction does to the original proposal in terms of what can be carried out. I just need that for my record so we know exactly what is being done with the smaller amount of funds. Just try to send that sometime this week – I do not have to wait until I get it to make my reprogramming request since I know the altered proposal will not be fundamentally different than the original proposal (i.e. it will just be scaled down, not read like a different project).

Let me know if you have any questions or comments. Thanks.

## Romell

(202) 566-1203